Bogdan M. Kushnarenko, Rodion A. Firsov

(Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lomonosov Moscow State University; VEGA Institute; Institute for Information Transmission Problems, RAS, Moscow, Russia).

On Some Extensions of Lorden's Inequality

The classical Lorden inequality (1970) gives an upper bound for $\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}R_t$, where $R_t = S_{N(t)} - t$ is the overshoot of a one-dimensional random walk over the level t.

Let $(Z_k)_{k\geq 1}$ be independent identically distributed random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d with non-negative coordinates. Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and set

$$S_0 = 0,$$
 $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k,$ $N(t) = \inf\{n \ge 1 : ||S_n|| > t\},$ $R_t = ||S_{N(t)}|| - t.$

Assume that

$$\mathbb{E} \| Z_1 \|_1 > 0, \qquad \mathbb{E} \| Z_1 \|_1^2 < \infty, \qquad \mathbb{E} \| Z_1 \|^2 < \infty.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose there exists C > 0 such that $C||x||_1 \leq ||x||$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}R_t \leq \left(\frac{1}{C} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{1}\|}\right) \frac{\mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|_1^2}{\mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|_1}.$$

Theorem 2. Let $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ and $d \ge 1$. Then

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}R_t \leq 2\left(\sqrt{d} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \frac{\mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|_1^2}{\mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|_1}.$$

The estimate in Theorem 2 is asymptotically optimal in its order with respect to the dimension d and, for d = 1, reduces to Lorden's classical result. Because the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}R_t$ is sub-additive, the Law of Large Numbers yields the asymptotic behaviour

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}R_t}{t} \leq \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|Z_1\|}{\|\mathbb{E}Z_1\|} - 1\right) + o(1), \qquad t \to \infty.$$

The inequalities are useful, for instance, in portfolio-risk assessment. Passing from a scalar risk measure to a vector of returns $(Z_k^{(1)}, \ldots, Z_k^{(d)})$ naturally suggests the use of the ℓ^1 -norm. Theorem 2 provides an upper guarantee for the portfolio draw-down under a price shock of size t.